| Family Name | L |
| :---: | :---: |
| Given Name | Sophie |
| Person ID | 1285828 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | L |
| Given Name | Sophie |
| Person ID | 1285828 |
| Title | JPA 30: Ashton Moss West |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Sound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Sound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Sound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Sound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | Yes |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | Yes |
| Family Name | L |
| Given Name | Sophie |
| Person ID | 1285828 |
| Title | JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Sound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Sound |
| Soundness-Consistent with national policy? | Sound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Sound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | Yes |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | Yes |
| Family Name | L |
| Given Name | Sophie |
| Person ID | 1285828 |
| Title | JPA 32: South of Hyde |
| Type | Web |


| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| :---: | :---: |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Sound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | Yes |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | Yes |
| Redacted reasons - <br> Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | It is going to merge Gee Cross/Hyde with Woodley which was the original purpose of the green belt here to stop merging towns/villages. |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. | It is going to merge Gee Cross/Hyde with Woodley which was the original purpose of the green belt here to stop merging towns/villages. |
| Family Name | L |
| Given Name | Sophie |
| Person ID | 1285828 |
| Title | Tameside - Green Belt Additions |
| Type | Web |
| GBA Tameside - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below | Tameside GBA37 Broadbottom Road, Broadbottom <br> Tameside GBA40 Hyde Road, Mottram <br> Tameside GBA41 Ashworth Lane, Mottram |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness-Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |

## Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?

Redacted reasons -
Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. $\qquad$


Redacted modification

- Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.

I think this is just a idea to solve other peoples complaints. It would be easier to just leave these parcels of land as they are.
No

This seems to be an idea to make other people happy with "extra green belt", although most people think every green field is green belt around this area.
I think it is a time wasting exercise here adding extra parcels of green belt land which dont appear to be relevant to the areas. Hattersley was made from a green field and the open space around the village has not been developed on. Houses were cleared years ago but nothing has replaced them.

This seems to be an idea to make other people happy with 'extra green belt', although most people think every green field is green belt around this area.
I think it is a time wasting exercise here adding extra parcels of green belt land which dont appear to be relevant to the areas. Hattersley was made from a green field and the open space around the village has not been developed on. Houses were cleared years ago but nothing has replaced them.
I think this is just a idea to solve other peoples complaints. It would be easier to just leave these parcels of land as they are.

